

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

August 21, 2014

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7011 2970 0001 8827 9280

William Bown Bonneville Quarries 842 West 400 North West Bountiful, Utah 84087

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Notice of Violation No.MN-2014-17-01 Bonneville

Quarries, Inc., Lynn Springs Quarry, M/003/025, Box Elder County, Utah

Response Due By: 30 Days of Receipt

Dear Mr. Bown

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the assessment officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced notice of violation). The NOV was issued by Division inspector, Lynn Kunzler, on June 30, 2014. Rule R647-4-107.1.12 and R647-4-107.4. has been utilized to determine the proposed penalty of \$374.00. The enclosed worksheet outlines how the civil penalty was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this NOV has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of this penalty.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you. You may appeal the 'fact of the violation', the proposed civil penalty, or both. If you wish to informally appeal you should file a written request for an informal conference within thirty 30 days of receipt of this letter.



Page **2** of **5** William Bown M/003/0025 August 21, 2014

The informal conference will be conducted by a Division-appointed conference officer. The informal conference for the fact of the violation is distinct from the informal assessment conference regarding the proposed penalty. If you wish to review both the fact of the violation and proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an assessment conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. In this case, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following the review of the fact of the violation.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the violation will stand; the proposed penalty will become final, and will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of this proposed assessment September 23, 2014. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Sheri Sasaki.

Sincerely,

Wayne Western Assessment Officer

wages water

LK: whw

Enclosure: Proposed assessment worksheet cc: Sheri Sasaki, Accounting Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M003-BoxElder\M0030025-Lynnsprings\non-compliance\MN-2014-17-01\PropAssessment08202014.doc

Page **3** of **5** William Bown M/003/0025 August 21, 2014

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

NOV COM	/ CO #	:	MN-2014-17-01 Bonneville Qua	PERM	MIT:M/	/003/0025	
ASSI	ESSME	ENT DAT	TE August 20, 20 TICER Wayne We	14			
I.	HIST A.	Are th	(Max. 25 pts.) (R64 tere previous violation ars of today's date?		ot pending or	vacated, which fall th	ıree
	PRE'	VIOUS	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE	E DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for	or CO)
				тот	AL HISTO	RY POINTS 0	
II.	NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply: 1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determ each category where the violation falls. 2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjute up or down, utilizing the inspector=s and operator=s statements as guiding of the category where the violation of the category is statements as guiding of the category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the Assessment Officer will adjute the inspector of the Category of the C						
	A.						
	71.	1.	Pollution, of What is the probable standard was designed as PROBABI None Unlikely Likely Occurred	rence of the POINT R 0 1-9 10-19 20			
			ASSIGN PROBA	BILITY OF OC	CCURRENC	CE POINTS 15	

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Two hundred gallon tank with no secondary containment, buckets of lubricants with no secondary containment, trash left on site.

Page 4 of 5 William Bown M/003/0025 August 21, 201	4 3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage: ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS(RA	NGE 0-25) <u>12</u>					
	In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage of area and impact on the public or environment.	or impact, in terms					
PROVI	PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:						
There is the potential for a fuel spill that could contaminate surface and/or ground water.							
	B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts) 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS						
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: _							
	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)						
Α.	A. IF SONO NEGLIGENCE; or, , IF SOGREATER DEGREE OF FAU THAN NEGLIGENCE. Point R						
	No Negligence (Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care?) Negligence (was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence,	1-15					
	or lack of reasonable care?) Greater Degree of Fault (was this a failure to abate any violation or was economic gain realized by the permittee?	16-30					

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:__

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE____

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 10

Willia M/00	5 of 5 am Bown 3/0025 st 21, 2014						
IV.	GOOD FA (Either abated a	ITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14) r A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement to the time of assessment) s Violation Been Abated? Yes / No	measures, or violations not				
		ABATEMENT (The operator had onsite, the resources neces ated standard within the permit area.)					
		Immediate Compliance	Point Range -11 to -20				
		(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV) Rapid Compliance (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	-1 to -10				
		Violation abated in less time than allotted.) Normal Compliance	0				
		(Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time)					
B. DIFFICULT ABATEMENT (The operator did not have the resources at hand to achie compliance, or the submission of plans was required prior to physical activity to achieve compliance.)							
	1	Rapid Compliance (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation.	Point Range -11 to -20				
		Violation abated in less time than allotted.) Normal Compliance (Operator complied within the abatement period)	-1 to -10				
		(Operator compliance (Operator compliance (Operator complied within the abatement period required, or, Operator requested an extension to abatement time) (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the violation, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete.)	0				
	EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT?						
PRO		ASSIGN GOOD FAITH XPLANATION OF POINTS: fuel and trash from site within two days of receiving v					
v.	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)						
	I. II. III.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS 10					

IV.

TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS

TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE

-20

\$ 17